

Decompositions into subgraphs of small diameter

Jacob Fox*

Benny Sudakov†

Abstract

We investigate decompositions of a graph into a small number of low diameter subgraphs. Let $P(n, \epsilon, d)$ be the smallest k such that every graph $G = (V, E)$ on n vertices has an edge partition $E = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_k$ such that $|E_0| \leq \epsilon n^2$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ the diameter of the subgraph spanned by E_i is at most d . Using Szemerédi's regularity lemma, Polcyn and Ruciński showed that $P(n, \epsilon, 4)$ is bounded above by a constant depending only ϵ . This shows that every dense graph can be partitioned into a small number of "small worlds" provided that few edges can be ignored. Improving on their result, we determine $P(n, \epsilon, d)$ within an absolute constant factor, showing that $P(n, \epsilon, 2) = \Theta(n)$ is unbounded for $\epsilon < 1/4$, $P(n, \epsilon, 3) = \Theta(1/\epsilon^2)$ for $\epsilon > n^{-1/2}$ and $P(n, \epsilon, 4) = \Theta(1/\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon > n^{-1}$. We also prove that if G has large minimum degree, *all the edges* of G can be covered by a small number of low diameter subgraphs. Finally, we extend some of these results to hypergraphs, improving earlier work of Polcyn, Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi.

1 Introduction

The *distance* between two vertices of a graph is the length of the shortest path between them. The *diameter* $\text{diam}(G)$ of a connected graph $G = (V, E)$ is the maximum distance between any pair of vertices of the graph. If G is not connected, $\text{diam}(G) = \infty$. For an edge subset $E' \subset E$, the diameter of E' is the diameter of the subgraph of G with edge set E' , whose vertex set consists of all the vertices of G which belong to at least one edge of E' .

Extremal problems on the diameter of graphs have a long history and were first investigated by Erdős and Rényi [5] and Erdős, Rényi, and Sós [6], who studied the minimum number of edges in an n -vertex graph with diameter at most d . Another line of research concerning the change of diameter if edges of the graph are added or deleted was initiated by Chung and Garey [2] (see also, e.g., [3, 1]). In this paper, we investigate decompositions of a graph into a small number of subgraphs of low diameter. A motivation for such decompositions comes from distributed computing. We are given a set of processors (vertices) with communication channels (edges) between pairs of processors. A fundamental problem when designing algorithms on such systems is determining how much coordination must be done between the processors and accomplishing this coordination as efficiently as possible. The simplest approach is to centralize the network by appointing one processor to coordinate the actions of the network. This approach often simplifies the problem and leads to distributed algorithms based on known serial algorithms. However, if the network has large diameter, such rigid centralization can degrade system performance due to delays in communication. One solution to this problem is to

*Department of Mathematics, Princeton, Princeton, NJ. Email: jacobfox@math.princeton.edu. Research supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship and a Princeton Centennial Fellowship.

†Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095. Email: bsudakov@math.ucla.edu. Research supported in part by NSF CAREER award DMS-0812005 and by USA-Israeli BSF grant.

partition the network into regions of low diameter. This approach was used for example by Linial and Saks [9] who showed that every graph on n vertices can be vertex partitioned into $O(\log n)$ induced subgraphs whose connected components have diameter $O(\log n)$.

In this paper we will consider another variant of the low diameter decomposition problem, which was also studied by several researchers. In this problem the goal is to partition nearly all the edges of a graph into a small number of low diameter subgraphs. More precisely we study the following parameter.

Definition 1 *Let $P(n, \epsilon, d)$ be the smallest ℓ such that every graph $G = (V, E)$ on n vertices has an edge partition $E = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_\ell$ such that $|E_0| \leq \epsilon n^2$ and the diameter of E_i is at most d for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.*

Polcyn and Ruciński [12] recently showed that every dense graph can be partitioned into a small number of “small worlds” provided that a small fraction of the edges can be ignored. Specifically, they proved that $P(n, \epsilon, 4)$ is bounded by a constant depending only on ϵ . Their proof relies on Szemerédi’s regularity lemma and consequently gives an enormous upper bound on $P(n, \epsilon, 4)$ as a function of ϵ , i.e., it shows that $P(n, \epsilon, 4)$ can be bounded from above by a tower of 2s of height polynomial in $1/\epsilon$. One of our main results determines $P(n, \epsilon, d)$ up to a constant factor. It improves on the result of Polcyn and Ruciński both on the diameter bound and on the number of parts.

Theorem 1 *(a) For $\epsilon < 1/4$ bounded away from $1/4$, we have $P(n, \epsilon, 2) = \Theta(n)$.
 (b) For $\epsilon \geq n^{-1/2}$, we have $P(n, \epsilon, 3) = \Theta(1/\epsilon^2)$.
 (c) For $\epsilon \geq n^{-1}$, we have $P(n, \epsilon, 4) = \Theta(1/\epsilon)$.*

There is a sharp transition in the behavior of the function $P(n, \epsilon, 2)$ at $\epsilon = 1/4$, namely $P(n, 1/4, 2) = 1$. Note also that $P(n, \epsilon, 1)$ is the minimum number of edge-disjoint cliques needed to cover all but ϵn^2 edges in any graph on n vertices. This parameter is not so interesting to study as for ϵ not too large, $P(n, \epsilon, 1)$ is quadratic in n by considering the complete bipartite graph with parts of equal size.

Extremal problems on the diameter of graphs with large minimum degree have also been studied. For example, Erdős et al. [4], answering a question of Gallai, determine up to an additive constant the largest possible diameter of a connected graph with a given number n of vertices and minimum degree δ . The answer is within an additive constant of $\frac{3n}{\delta+1}$. For graphs with large minimum degree, we can show that *all* edges of such graphs can be covered by a small number of low diameter subgraphs (which are not necessarily edge-disjoint).

Definition 2 *Let $Q(n, \epsilon, d)$ be the minimum ℓ such that the edges of any graph $G = (V, E)$ on n vertices with minimum degree at least ϵn can be covered by ℓ sets $E = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_\ell$ such that the diameter of each E_i is at most d .*

We prove the following two results, in which we use the properties of Kneser graphs to establish lower bounds.

Theorem 2 *(a) For fixed $0 < \epsilon \leq 2^{-8}$, both $Q(n, \epsilon, 3)$ and $Q(n, \epsilon, 4)$ have order of magnitude $\Theta(\log n)$.
 (b) $Q(n, \epsilon, 5) = \Theta(1/\epsilon^2)$ and $Q(n, \epsilon, 6) = \Theta(1/\epsilon)$.*

Note that any graph on n vertices of minimum degree more than $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ has diameter at most 2, since non-adjacent vertices must have a common neighbor. In sharp contrast with Theorem 2(a), this shows that $Q(n, 1/2, 2) = 1$.

An analogous problem for hypergraphs was first investigated by Polcyn, Rödl, Ruciński, and Szemerédi [11]. A hypergraph $G = (V, E)$ is k -uniform if each edge has exactly k vertices. A (tight) *path* of length ℓ in a k -uniform hypergraph $G = (V, E)$ is a subhypergraph consisting of $\ell + k - 1$ vertices $v_1, \dots, v_{\ell+k-1}$ and ℓ edges, such that for each $i \leq \ell$, $(v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{i+k-1})$ is an edge of G . The vertices v_1 and $v_{\ell+k-1}$ are the endpoints of the path. The *distance* between two vertices v, w in a hypergraph is the length of the shortest path whose endpoints are v and w . The *diameter* $\text{diam}(G)$ of G is the maximum distance between any two vertices of G .

Definition 3 Let $P_k(n, \epsilon, d)$ be the smallest ℓ such that every k -uniform hypergraph $G = (V, E)$ on n vertices has an edge partition $E = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_k$ such that $|E_0| \leq \epsilon n^k$ and the diameter of E_i is at most d for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Polcyn et al. [11] showed that $P_3(n, \epsilon, 12)$ is bounded above by a constant $C_3(\epsilon)$ depending only on ϵ . Their proof uses the hypergraph regularity lemma, and gives an Ackermann-type upper bound on $C_3(\epsilon)$. Here we improve the diameter bound from 12 to 3, which is best possible, generalize it to any uniformity k , and further show that this function is polynomial in ϵ^{-1} . The proof uses similar counting arguments as done in the graph case.

Theorem 3 We have $P_k(n, \epsilon, 3) = O\left(\epsilon^{2-2^k}\right)$.

In the other direction, we show that $P_k(n, \epsilon, 3) \geq c_k \epsilon^{-k}$ for $\epsilon \gg n^{-1/2}$, which we think is tight.

We study $P(n, \epsilon, d)$ in the next section, where we consider the cases $d = 2, 3, 4$ in three separate subsections. In Section 3, we study edge partitions of graphs of large minimum degree into a small number of low diameter subgraphs. In Section 4, we prove bounds on $P_k(n, \epsilon, d)$. The last section of the paper contains some concluding remarks and open questions. Throughout the paper, we systematically omit floor and ceiling signs whenever they are not crucial for the sake of clarity of presentation. We also do not make any serious attempt to optimize absolute constants in our statements and proofs. All logarithms in this paper are in base 2.

2 Proof of Theorems 1

In this section, we prove bounds on $P(n, \epsilon, d)$. We consider the cases $d = 2, 3, 4$ in separate subsections.

2.1 Decomposing a graph into diameter 2 subgraphs

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1(a), which states that if $\epsilon < 1/4$ is bounded away from $1/4$, then $P(n, \epsilon, 2) = \Theta(n)$. The proofs for both the upper bound and for the lower bound are quite simple. We first show the upper bound. Let G be a graph with n vertices. Note that a star has diameter 2. Letting E_i be those edges that contain the i th vertex of G , we have $P(n, 0, 2) \leq n$.

To prove the lower bound we use the following simple fact. If G is a bipartite graph, then any subgraph of G which is not complete has diameter at least 3. We show next that if G is a random

bipartite graph of edge density bounded away from 1, then almost surely every complete bipartite subgraph of G has $O(n)$ edges. The *random bipartite graph* $G(n, n, p)$ is the probability space of labeled bipartite graphs with n vertices in each class, where each of the n^2 edges appears independently with probability p . The term *almost surely* means with probability tending to 1 as n tends to infinity.

Lemma 1 *Fix $0 < p < 1$ and let $q = 1 - p$. Almost surely, all complete bipartite subgraphs of the random bipartite graph $G = G(n, n, p)$ have at most $2n/q$ edges.*

Proof: Let A and B be the two vertex classes of G . The probability that there is $S \subset A$ and $T \subset B$ that are complete to each other and have at least $2n/q$ edges between them is at most

$$p^{-2n/q} 2^{2n} = (1 - q)^{-2n/q} 2^{2n} \leq e^{-2n} 2^{2n} = o(1).$$

□

The Chernoff bound for the binomial distribution implies that the random graph $G(n, n, p)$ almost surely has $pn^2 + o(n^2)$ edges. Let $\epsilon < 1/4$, $q = \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon$ and $p = \frac{3}{4} + \epsilon$. By considering $G(n/2, n/2, p)$, we have that there is a bipartite graph G on n vertices with at least $(p - o(1))n^2/4 = (\epsilon + \frac{3q}{4} - o(1))n^2$ edges and such that every diameter 2 subgraph of G has at most $2n/q$ edges. To cover $(\frac{3q}{4} - o(1))n^2 > qn^2/2$ edges of G by diameter 2 subgraphs, we need to use at least $\frac{qn^2/2}{2n/q} = q^2n/4$ subgraphs. Hence $P(n, \epsilon, 2) \geq q^2n/4 = \frac{(1-4\epsilon)^2}{64}n$ for n sufficiently large. This completes the proof of Theorem 1(a). □

We conclude this subsection by showing that a sharp transition for $P(n, \epsilon, 2)$ occurs at $\epsilon = 1/4$. Namely, $P(n, 1/4, 2) = 1$. If a graph G has a vertex which is adjacent to less than half of the other vertices, delete it. Continue deleting vertices until all vertices in the remaining induced subgraph G_1 are adjacent to at least half of the other vertices of G_1 . Graph G_1 has diameter at most 2 by the discussion after Theorem 2. Let G_0 be the subgraph whose edges are those containing a deleted vertex. Then G_0 has at most $\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{2} < n^2/4 = \epsilon n^2$ edges and therefore $P(n, 1/4, 2) = 1$.

2.2 Decomposing a graph into diameter 3 subgraphs

Studying $P(n, \epsilon, d)$ appears to be most interesting in the case $d = 3$. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1(b), which establishes $P(n, \epsilon, 3) = \Theta(1/\epsilon^2)$ for $\epsilon \geq n^{-1/2}$. We begin with a few simple lemmas.

Lemma 2 *If G is a graph with n vertices and $m \geq 12n$ edges, then G has at least $\frac{m^3}{16n^2}$ paths of length three.*

Proof: Delete from G vertices of degree at most $\frac{m}{2n}$ one by one. The resulting induced subgraph G' has at least $m - n\frac{m}{2n} = m/2$ edges, and has minimum degree at least $\frac{m}{2n}$. For each edge $e = (u, v)$ of G' , u and v each have at least $\frac{m}{2n}$ neighbors, so the number of paths of length three with middle edge e is at least $(\frac{m}{2n} - 1)(\frac{m}{2n} - 2) \geq \frac{m^2}{8n^2}$ as there are at least $\frac{m}{2n} - 1$ possible choices for the first vertex of the path, and, given the first three vertices of the path, at least $\frac{m}{2n} - 2$ remaining possible last vertices for the path. Counting over all $m/2$ possible middle edges, we obtain that the number of paths of length three in G' (and hence in G) is at least $\frac{m}{2} \frac{m^2}{8n^2} = \frac{m^3}{16n^2}$. □

The next definition demonstrates how to construct a subgraph of diameter at most 3 from a graph and a pair of its vertices.

Definition 4 For a graph G and vertices v and w of distance at most d , let $G_d(v, w)$ be the induced subgraph of G consisting of all vertices of G that lie on a walk from v to w of length at most d .

Lemma 3 The graph $G_d(v, w)$ has diameter at most d .

Proof: Let a and b be vertices of $G_d(v, w)$. So a is on a walk from v to w of length at most d , and b is on a walk from v to w of length at most d . These two walks give rise to two walks from a to b such that the sum of the lengths of these two walks is at most $2d$. Hence, there is a path from a to b of length at most d . This shows that $G_d(v, w)$ has diameter at most d . \square

Lemma 4 If a graph G has n vertices and $m \geq 12n$ edges, then it contains an induced subgraph H with at least $\frac{m^3}{32n^4}$ edges that has diameter at most 3.

Proof: By Lemma 2, G has at least $\frac{m^3}{16n^2}$ paths of length three. By averaging, there is a pair u, v of vertices of G such that the number of paths of length three with terminal vertices u and v is at least $\frac{m^3}{16n^4}$. By Lemma 3, $G_3(u, v)$ has diameter at most 3 and in every paths of length three from u to v , the middle edge is an edge of $G_3(u, v)$. Moreover, each edge in $G_3(u, v)$ is the middle edge of at most two paths from u to v , hence $G_3(u, v)$ has at least $\frac{m^2}{32n^4}$ edges. \square

We now prove a quantitative version of the upper bound on $P(n, \epsilon, 3)$ in Theorem 1(b).

Theorem 4 Every graph G on n vertices can be edge partitioned $E = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_k$ such that $|E_0| \leq \epsilon n^2$, $k \leq 50\epsilon^{-2}$, and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the diameter of E_i is at most 3.

Proof: We repeatedly use Lemma 4 to pull out subgraphs of diameter at most 3 until the remaining subgraph has at most ϵn^2 edges. The remaining at most ϵn^2 edges make up E_0 . If the current graph has at least $m/2$ edges, then by the above lemma we can find a subgraph of diameter at most 3 with at least $\frac{(m/2)^3}{32n^4}$ edges. Therefore, after pulling out $s = (m - m/2)/\frac{(m/2)^3}{32n^4} = 128n^4/m^2$ such subgraphs of diameter at most 3, we remain with at most $m/2$ edges. Similarly, applying this process to a subgraph with at most $2^i \epsilon n^2$ edges we get a subgraph with at most $2^{i-1} \epsilon n^2$ edges, after pulling out at most $\frac{128n^4}{(2^i \epsilon n^2)^2} = 2^{7-2i} \epsilon^{-2}$ subgraphs of diameter 3. Summing over all $i \geq 1$, we obtain that altogether we pull out at most $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{7-2i} \epsilon^{-2} = \frac{2^7}{3} \epsilon^{-2} < 50\epsilon^{-2}$ subgraphs. \square

Next we establish a lower bound on $P(n, \epsilon, 3)$, using the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5 Almost surely the subgraph of diameter at most 3 of the random bipartite graph $G(n, n, p)$ with $p = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}$ with the maximum number of edges has $(1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3n^2) = (\frac{33}{64} + o(1))\sqrt{n}$ edges.

The *neighborhood* $N(v)$ of a vertex v in a graph G is the set of vertices adjacent to v . To see that there is almost surely a subgraph of diameter 3 of $G(n, n, p)$ with $(1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3n^2)$ edges, let a and b be adjacent vertices in different vertex classes of $G(n, n, p)$, and consider the induced subgraph H with vertex set $N(a) \cup N(b)$, which has diameter at most 3. It follows from Chernoff's bound for the binomial distribution that almost surely all vertices of $G(n, n, p)$ have degree $(1 + o(1))pn$.

Hence, the number of edges containing a or b is $(1 + o(1))2pn$. Furthermore, given $|N(a)|$ and $|N(b)|$, the number of edges between $N(a) \setminus \{b\}$ and $N(b) \setminus \{a\}$ follows a binomial distribution, and another application of Chernoff's bound for the binomial distribution implies that the number of these edges is $(1 + o(1))p^3n^2$. Hence H has $(1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3n^2)$ edges. To prove Lemma 5, it thus suffices to show that *every* diameter 3 subgraph has at most $(1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3n^2)$ edges.

Since almost surely the number of edges of $G(n, n, p)$, $p = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}$ is concentrated around its expected value pn^2 , we have the following corollary. For all sufficiently large n , there is a bipartite graph on $2n$ vertices with at least $\frac{1}{5}n^{3/2}$ edges in which any diameter at most 3 subgraph has at most $\frac{4}{5}n^{1/2}$ edges.

For a graph G , the *blow-up* $G(r)$ denotes the graph formed by replacing each vertex v_i of G by an independent set V_i of size r , where vertices $u \in V_i$ and $w \in V_j$ are adjacent in $G(r)$ if and only if v_i and v_j are adjacent in G .

Lemma 6 *If any subgraph of a graph G with diameter at most d has at most m edges, then any subgraph of $G(r)$ with diameter at most d has at most r^2m edges.*

Proof: Let H be a subgraph of $G(r)$ of diameter at most d . Let H' be the induced subgraph of G where v_i is a vertex of H' if there is a vertex of H in V_i . It is clear from the definition of $G(r)$ that the diameter of H' is at most the diameter of H . Thus H' also has diameter at most d and so it has at most m edges. Then H has at most r^2m edges, since for every edge (v_i, v_j) of H' there are at most r^2 edges of H in $V_i \times V_j$. \square

From Lemmas 5 and 6, we quickly deduce a lower bound on $P(n, \epsilon, 3)$. Of course, we are assuming here that $\epsilon < 1/2$ as otherwise $\epsilon n^2 \geq \binom{n}{2}$ and $P(n, \epsilon, 3) = 0$ since we can let E_0 consist of all edges of the graph.

Theorem 5 *We have $P(n, \epsilon, 3) \geq c\epsilon^{-2}$ for some absolute constant $c > 0$.*

Proof: Let $t = (40\epsilon)^{-2}$ and $r = \frac{n}{2t}$. By choosing an appropriate constant c we may suppose that ϵ is sufficiently small and so t is sufficiently large. Therefore, by Lemma 5, there is a bipartite graph G with $2t$ vertices, at least $\frac{1}{5}t^{3/2}$ edges such that every diameter 3 subgraph of G has at most $\frac{4}{5}t^{1/2}$ edges. The blow-up graph $G(r)$ has n vertices, at least $\frac{1}{5}t^{3/2}r^2 = 2\epsilon n^2$ edges, and Lemma 6 shows that any subgraph of $G(r)$ with diameter at most 3 has at most $\frac{4}{5}r^2t^{1/2} = t^{-3/2}n^2/5 = 8(40)^2\epsilon^3n^2$ edges. Thus $P(n, \epsilon, 3) \geq \frac{\epsilon n^2}{8(40)^2\epsilon^3n^2} \geq \frac{1}{8(40)^2}\epsilon^{-2}$, which completes the proof. \square

We next include a simple characterization of bipartite graphs of diameter at most 3.

Proposition 1 *A bipartite graph G with at least three vertices has diameter at most 3 if and only if each pair of vertices in the same vertex class have a common neighbor.*

Proof: Let A and B be the vertex classes of bipartite G with $|A| \geq |B|$. If a pair of vertices in the same vertex class does not have a common neighbor, then the shortest path between them must be even, have length at least four, and hence G has diameter at least four.

Conversely, suppose each pair of vertices in the same vertex class have a common neighbor. If two vertices are in the same vertex class, then there is a path of length two between them. If $a \in A$ and

$b \in B$, then there is another vertex $a' \in A$, and hence a and a' have a neighbor b' in B . If $b' = b$, then there is a path of length one between a and b . Otherwise, b' and b have a common neighbor, so there is a path between a and b of length at most three. This shows that the distance between any pair of vertices of G is at most 3, i.e., G has diameter at most 3. \square

Notice that a bipartite graph with diameter less than 3 must be a complete bipartite graph, as if there is a pair of vertices in different classes that are not adjacent, then the shortest path between them is of odd length greater than 1, and so the diameter is at least 3. Hence a bipartite graph with at least three vertices has diameter exactly three if and only if it is not a complete bipartite graph and each pair of vertices in the same vertex class have a common neighbor.

Our goal for the rest of the subsection is to prove Lemma 5. We will assume that $p = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}$, n is sufficiently large, and let A and B denote the vertex sets of size n of $G(n, n, p)$. We first need to collect several basic lemmas about the edge distribution in $G(n, n, p)$.

Lemma 7 $G(n, n, p)$ almost surely has the following six properties.

- (a) Every vertex has degree $(1 + o(1))pn$.
- (b) Every pair of vertices have at most $\log n$ common neighbors.
- (c) For every edge (a, b) , there are $(1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3n^2)$ edges between $N(a)$ and $N(b)$.
- (d) For all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ non-adjacent, there are $(1 + o(1))p^3n^2$ edges between $N(a)$ and $N(b)$.
- (e) For all $Y \subset B$ and $X \subset A$, there are at most $|X| + |Y|^2 \log n$ edges between X and Y .
- (f) For all $A' \subset A$ and $B' \subset B$, there are at most $t = 6 \max(|A'||B'|p, (|A'| + |B'|) \log n)$ edges between A' and B' .

Proof: (a) The degree of each of the $2n$ vertices of $G(n, n, p)$ follows a binomial distribution. Chernoff's bound for the binomial distribution implies that almost surely all of the degrees are concentrated around their expected value, pn .

(b) The probability that a given pair of vertices in the same part have at least $\log n$ common neighbors is at most $\binom{n}{\log n} p^{2\log n} < n^{\log n} (4\sqrt{n})^{-2\log n} = n^{-4}$. There are $2\binom{n}{2} < n^2$ pairs of vertices in the same class of $G(n, n, p)$, so the probability $G(n, n, p)$ has a pair of vertices with $\log n$ common neighbors is at most $n^{-4}n^2 = n^{-2}$.

(c) By (a), almost surely, the degree of every vertex in $G(n, n, p)$ is $(1 + o(1))pn$. If a and b are adjacent, given $|N(a)|$ and $|N(b)|$, the number of edges between $N(a) \setminus \{b\}$ and $N(b) \setminus \{a\}$ follows a binomial distribution. An application of Chernoff's bound for the binomial distribution implies that a.s. for each edge (a, b) the number of edges between $N(a)$ and $N(b)$ is $|N(a)| + |N(b)| - 1 + (1 + o(1))p|N(a)||N(b)| = (1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3n^2)$.

(d) Similar to (c), an application of Chernoff's bound for the binomial distribution implies that almost surely for each pair a, b of non-adjacent vertices in different vertex classes, the number of edges between $N(a)$ and $N(b)$ is $(1 + o(1))p|N(a)||N(b)| = (1 + o(1))p^3n^2$.

(e) Let x_1, \dots, x_k be the vertices of X with at least two neighbors in Y . Since every $d_Y(x_i) \geq 2$ and (by (b)) each pair of vertices in Y has at most $\log n$ common neighbors, we have that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_i d_Y(x_i) \leq \sum_i \binom{d_Y(x_i)}{2} \leq \binom{|Y|}{2} \log n.$$

This implies that the total number of edges between X and Y is at most $|X| - k + \sum_i d_Y(x_i) \leq |X| + |Y|^2 \log n$.

(f) The result is trivial if A' or B' is empty, so we may assume they are nonempty. The number of pairs $A' \subset A$ and $B' \subset B$ of size $|A'| = a$ and $|B'| = b$ is $\binom{n}{a} \binom{n}{b}$. For fixed A' and B' of sizes a and b , respectively, the probability that there are at least t edges between them is at most $p^t \binom{ab}{t} \leq \left(\frac{abep}{t}\right)^t \leq 2^{-t} \leq n^{-6(a+b)}$. So the probability that there are such subsets A' and B' is at most $\sum_{a,b} \binom{n}{a} \binom{n}{b} n^{-6(a+b)} \leq \sum_{a,b} n^{a+b} n^{-6(a+b)} = \sum_{a,b} n^{-5(a+b)} \leq n^2 n^{-5} = n^{-3}$. \square

Let H be a subgraph of the bipartite graph $G = G(n, n, p)$ of diameter at most 3, and X and Y be its vertex sets with $|X| \geq |Y|$. In particular, according to Proposition 1, each pair of vertices of X have a common neighbor in Y , and each pair of vertices of Y have a common neighbor in X . We suppose for contradiction that H has more than $(1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3 n^2)$ edges. Adding extra edges to a graph on a given vertex set cannot increase the diameter of the graph, so we may suppose that H is the induced subgraph of G with vertex sets X and Y . In graph H , let $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_{|Y|}$ be the degrees of the vertices of Y in decreasing order, and v_i denote the vertex of degree d_i .

We first prove that H has few vertices.

Claim 1 *Almost surely, every diameter 3 subgraph H of $G(n, n, p)$ has at most $10n^{1/2} \log n$ vertices.*

Proof: Suppose for contradiction that H has at least $x = 10n^{1/2} \log n$ vertices. Since $|X| \geq |Y|$, we have $|X| \geq x/2 = 5n^{1/2} \log n$. Lemma 7(f) shows that almost surely there are at most $t = 6 \max(|X||Y|p, (|X| + |Y|) \log n) \leq 6|X| \max(p|X|, 2 \log n)$ edges between X and Y . Also, by Lemma 7(a), a.s. the maximum degree satisfies $\Delta = (1 + o(1))np$. Convexity of the function $f(y) = \binom{y}{2}$ yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|Y|} \binom{d_i}{2} \leq \frac{t}{\Delta} \binom{\Delta}{2} = (1 + o(1))npt/2 \leq 4np|X| \max(p|X|, 2 \log n),$$

which is an upper bound on the number of pairs of vertices of X that have a common neighbor in Y . We have $4np|X| \cdot p|X| < \binom{|X|}{2}$ and $4np|X| \cdot 2 \log n < \binom{|X|}{2}$. Hence, there are less than $\binom{|X|}{2}$ pairs of vertices in X with a common neighbor in Y . So there is a pair of vertices in X with no common neighbor in Y , contradicting H has diameter at most 3 and completing the proof. \square

Lemma 7(f) together with the previous claim imply that a.s. any subgraph of $G(n, n, p)$ of diameter 3 with at least $2pn = \sqrt{n}/2$ edges has at least $\frac{1}{12} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log n}$ vertices and at most $10\sqrt{n} \log n$ vertices.

Claim 2 *Let $\epsilon = |X|^{-1/5}$. There is a vertex in Y that has at least $(1 - \epsilon)|X|$ neighbors in X .*

Proof: Since every pair of vertices of X have a common neighbor in Y we have $\sum_{i=1}^{|Y|} \binom{d_i}{2} \geq \binom{|X|}{2}$, where $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_{|Y|}$ are the degrees of vertices of Y in X . Suppose for contradiction that no vertex of Y that has at least $(1 - \epsilon)|X|$ neighbors in X . Let $r = |X|^{1/3}$. By Lemma 7(e), $\sum_{i=1}^r d_i \leq |X| + r^2 \log n$. In particular, convexity of the function $f(x) = \binom{x}{2}$ demonstrates that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \binom{d_i}{2} \leq \binom{(1 - \epsilon)|X|}{2} + \binom{\epsilon|X| + r^2 \log n}{2} \leq \binom{(1 - \epsilon)|X|}{2} + \epsilon^2 |X|^2 \leq \binom{|X|}{2} - \epsilon |X|^2 / 2.$$

Since H and hence also X has at most $10\sqrt{n} \log n$ vertices, we have that $|X|^2 p \leq \frac{5}{2}|X| \log n$. Thus, by Lemma 7(f), there are at most $15|X| \log n$ edges from Y to X . This implies that $d_i \leq d_r \leq 15|X| \log n / r$ for all $i > r$. Under these constraints, we have by convexity of the function $f(x) = \binom{x}{2}$ that

$$\sum_{i>r} \binom{d_i}{2} \leq r \binom{15|X| \log n / r}{2} < \frac{120}{r} |X|^2 \log^2 n = 120|X|^{5/3} \log^2 n \ll \frac{1}{2} |X|^{9/5} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon |X|^2.$$

This together with the above estimate shows that $\sum_{i=1}^{|Y|} \binom{d_i}{2} < \binom{|X|}{2}$, a contradiction. \square

Take ϵ as in Claim 2. Since there is a vertex in Y with at least $(1 - \epsilon)|X|$ neighbors in X and every vertex has degree $(1 + o(1))pn$, then $|X| \leq (1 + o(1))pn / (1 - \epsilon) = (1 + o(1))pn$.

We next show that Y is also quite large if H has at least $2pn$ edges. By Claim 2, there is a vertex $v \in Y$ adjacent to at least $(1 - \epsilon)|X|$ elements of X . By Lemma 7(b), every other vertex in Y besides v has at most $\log n$ neighbors in $N(v)$. Hence, there are at most $|X| + |Y| \log n$ edges between $N(v)$ and Y . There are at most $\epsilon|X|$ vertices in $X \setminus N(v)$, so there are at most $6 \max(\epsilon|X||Y|p, (\epsilon|X| + |Y|) \log n)$ edges between $X \setminus N(v)$ and Y . Hence the number of edges of H is at most

$$|X| + |Y| \log n + 6 \max(\epsilon|X||Y|p, (\epsilon|X| + |Y|) \log n) \leq (1 + o(1))pn + 7|Y| \log n,$$

where we use $|X| \leq (1 + o(1))pn$. If $|Y| \leq \frac{1}{30} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log n}$, we get that there are less than $2pn$ edges in H , a contradiction. To summarize, we have the following inequalities: $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{30 \log n} \leq |Y| \leq |X| \leq (1 + o(1))pn$.

Now that we have established that X and Y are of similar size, the proof of Claim 2 with X and Y switched also gives us the following claim. The only estimate in the proof that needs to be checked is that $|X|^2 (\log n)^2 / r \ll \epsilon|Y|^2$, and since $|X|$ and $|Y|$ are both of the form $n^{1/2+o(1)}$, $r = |Y|^{1/3}$ and $\epsilon = |Y|^{-1/5}$, this clearly holds.

Claim 3 *Let $\epsilon = |Y|^{-1/5}$. There is a vertex in X that has at least $(1 - \epsilon)|Y|$ neighbors in Y .*

We now complete the proof of Lemma 5. Let y be a vertex in Y with at least $(1 - \epsilon)|X|$ neighbors in X and x be a vertex in X with at least $(1 - \epsilon)|Y|$ neighbors in Y , where $\epsilon = |Y|^{-1/5}$. Such vertices x and y exist by Claims 2 and 3, since $|Y| \leq |X|$. Let X_1 be the set of neighbors of y in X , and $X_2 = X \setminus X_1$. Let Y_1 denote the set of neighbors of x in Y , and $Y_2 = Y \setminus Y_1$. By Lemma 7(c) if x and y are adjacent and Lemma 7(a) and (d) if x and y are not adjacent, there are at most $(1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3 n^2)$ edges between $X_1 \cup \{x\}$ and $Y_1 \cup \{y\}$. Since X_1 consists of neighbors of y , by Lemma 7(b), each vertex in $Y_2 \setminus \{y\}$ has at most $\log n$ neighbors in X_1 . Similarly, each vertex in $X_2 \setminus \{x\}$ has at most $\log n$ neighbors in Y_1 . Lemma 7(f) implies that the number of edges between X_2 and Y_2 is at most

$$6 \max(|X_2||Y_2|p, (|X_2| + |Y_2|) \log n) \leq 6 \max(\epsilon|X|\epsilon|Y|p, (\epsilon|X| + \epsilon|Y|) \log n) < 20\epsilon|X| \log n = o(n^{1/2}).$$

Putting these inequalities altogether, the number of edges between X and Y , and hence the number of edges of H , is at most

$$(1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3 n^2) + |X_2| \log n + |Y_2| \log n + o(n^{1/2}) = (1 + o(1))(2pn + p^3 n^2),$$

where we use $|X_2| \leq \epsilon|X| = o(n^{1/2})$ and $|Y_2| \leq \epsilon|Y| = o(n^{1/2})$, which completes the proof. \square

2.3 Decomposing a graph into diameter 4 subgraphs

In this subsection, we prove the last claim of Theorem 1, that $P(n, \epsilon, 4) = \Theta(1/\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \geq 1/n$.

Definition 5 For a vertex v and graph G , let $N_r(v)$ be those vertices of G which are within distance at most r from vertex v and $G_r(v)$ denote the induced subgraph of G with vertex set $N_r(v)$.

The graph $G_r(v)$ of course has radius at most r and hence diameter at most $2r$. Note that $G_r(v) = G_{2r}(v, v)$ (defined in the previous section) as any vertex at distance at most r from v is contained in a walk from v to v of length at most $2r$, and any walk from v to v of length at most $2r$ contains only vertices of distance at most r from v .

To bound $P(n, \epsilon, 4)$ from above we use the following lemma, which is tight apart from the constant factor as demonstrated by a disjoint union of cliques of equal size (see the proof of Lemma 9 below).

Lemma 8 If a graph G has n vertices and at least $m \geq 4n$ edges, then it has a subgraph with diameter at most 4 and at least $\frac{m^2}{8n^2}$ edges.

Proof: Delete vertices one by one of degree at most $\frac{m}{2n}$. The resulting induced subgraph G' has at least $m - n\frac{m}{2n} = m/2$ edges and minimum degree at least $\frac{m}{2n}$. For any vertex v of G' , $G'_2(v)$ has diameter at most 4 and at least $(\frac{m}{2n})^2/2 \geq \frac{m^2}{8n^2}$ edges as v and its neighbors have degree at least $\frac{m}{2n}$. \square

We now prove a quantitative version of the upper bound on $P(n, \epsilon, 4)$ in Theorem 1(c).

Theorem 6 Every graph G on n vertices can be edge partitioned $E = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_\ell$ such that $|E_0| \leq \epsilon n^2$, $\ell \leq 16\epsilon^{-1}$, and for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, the diameter of E_i is at most 4.

Proof: We repeatedly use Lemma 8 to pull out subgraphs of diameter at most 4 until the remaining subgraph has at most ϵn^2 edges. The remaining at most ϵn^2 edges make up E_0 . If the current graph has at least $m/2$ edges, then by the above lemma we can pull out a subgraph of diameter at most 4 with at least $(m/2)^2/8n^2$ edges. Therefore, after pulling out $s = (m - m/2)/\frac{(m/2)^2}{8n^2} = 16n^2/m$ subgraphs of diameter at most 4 from our graph, we remain with at most $m/2$ edges. Similarly, applying this process to a graph with at most $2^i \epsilon n^2$ edges we get a subgraph with at most $2^{i-1} \epsilon n^2$ edges, after we pull out at most $\frac{16n^2}{2^i \epsilon n^2} = 2^{4-i} \epsilon^{-1}$ subgraphs of diameter 4. Summing over all $i \geq 1$, the total number ℓ of subgraphs of diameter at most 4 we pull out is at most $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{4-i} \epsilon^{-1} = 16\epsilon^{-1}$. \square

The next lemma shows that Theorem 6 is tight apart from a constant factor.

Lemma 9 If $\frac{1}{4n} \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{16}$, then $P(n, \epsilon, 4) \geq \frac{1}{16\epsilon}$.

Proof: Let $t = \frac{1}{8\epsilon}$ (note that $t \leq n/2$) and let G be a graph consisting of n vertices partitioned into t disjoint cliques each of size n/t . The graph G has $t \binom{n/t}{2}$ edges. Any connected subgraph of G has $\binom{n/t}{2}$ edges. Hence, any partition $E = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_\ell$ such that $|E_0| \leq \epsilon n^2 \leq \frac{t}{2} \binom{n/t}{2}$ and each E_i is connected for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ must have $\ell \geq t/2 = \frac{1}{16\epsilon}$. \square

3 Covering graphs of large minimum degree

In this section, we prove results on the minimum number of subgraphs needed to cover *all the edges* of a graph of large minimum degree by low diameter subgraphs. First we show, using a simple sampling argument, that the edges of every graph with n vertices and minimum degree linear in n can be covered by $O(\log n)$ subgraphs of diameter at most 3.

Theorem 7 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph on n vertices with minimum degree at least ϵn . Then there is a covering $E = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_\ell$ of the edge set of G such that $\ell = 2\epsilon^{-2} \log n$ and for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, E_i has diameter at most 3.*

Proof: Pick ℓ pairs (v_i, w_i) of not necessarily distinct vertices uniformly at random with repetition. If there is a path of length at most 3 between v_i and w_i , let $G_3(v_i, w_i)$ be the induced subgraph of G as in Definition 4 and E_i be the edge set of $G_3(v_i, w_i)$. By Lemma 3, $G_3(v_i, w_i)$ has diameter at most 3. Let $e = (v, w)$ be an edge of G . If $v_i \in \{v\} \cup N(v)$ and $w_i \in \{w\} \cup N(w)$, then $G_3(v_i, w_i)$ contains e as there is a walk from v_i to w_i of length at most 3 containing e . So the probability that a given edge $e = (v, w)$ of G is in $G_3(v_i, w_i)$ is at least $\frac{|N(v)|}{n} \frac{|N(w)|}{n} \geq \epsilon^2$. Since we are picking $\ell = 2\epsilon^{-2} \log n$ pairs of vertices uniformly at random, the probability e is in none of these subgraphs is at most $(1 - \epsilon^2)^\ell < e^{-2\log n} = n^{-2}$. Summing over all edges of G , the expected number of edges of G that are not in any of the E_i is at most $\binom{n}{2} n^{-2} < 1/2$. Hence, there is a choice of E_1, \dots, E_ℓ , each having diameter at most 3, that together cover all edges of G . This completes the proof. \square

The family of all subsets of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size k is denoted by $\binom{[n]}{k}$. The *Kneser graph* $\text{KG}(n, k)$ has vertex set $\binom{[n]}{k}$, where two sets of size k are adjacent if they are disjoint. A famous theorem of Lovász [10], who proved Kneser's conjecture using topological methods, states that the chromatic number of $\text{KG}(n, k)$ is $n - 2k + 2$ for $n \geq 2k \geq 2$. We use this property of Kneser graphs to construct a graph demonstrating that the bound in Theorem 7 is tight up to a constant factor. This will complete the proof of Theorem 2(a).

Theorem 8 *For every sufficiently large N , there is a graph G on N vertices with minimum degree at least $2^{-8}N$ whose edges cannot be covered by $\frac{1}{2} \log_2 N$ subgraphs of diameter at most 4.*

We establish Theorem 8 using the following lemma.

Lemma 10 *Let $a_k = 4\binom{4k}{k}$. Then there is a graph F_k on a_k vertices with minimum degree $a_k/16$ such that any covering of the edges of F_k by subgraphs of diameter at most 4 uses at least $2k + 2$ subgraphs.*

To deduce Theorem 8 from Lemma 10, we let k be the largest positive integer such that $a_k \leq N$. If a_k is not exactly N , we can duplicate some vertices of F_k if necessary to get the desired graph G with N vertices. Indeed, as $N < a_{k+1} \leq 10a_k$ and $a_k = 4\binom{4k}{k} \leq 2^{4k}$, we have that G has minimum degree at least $a_k/16 \geq 2^{-8}N$ and the edges of G cannot be covered by less than $2k + 2 > \frac{1}{2} \log_2 N$ subgraphs of diameter at most 4. It thus suffices to prove Lemma 10.

The *incidence graph* $\text{IG}(n, k)$ is a bipartite graph with first vertex class $\binom{[n]}{k}$ and second vertex class $[n]$, where $i \in [n]$ is adjacent to $S \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ if $i \in S$. Every vertex in the first vertex class has k neighbors, and every vertex in the second vertex class has $\binom{n-1}{k-1} = \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k}$ neighbors. Two vertices $S_1, S_2 \in \binom{[n]}{k}$

have a common neighbor in $\text{IG}(n, k)$ if and only if they have nonempty intersection. So any coloring of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ such that any pair S_1, S_2 of the same color have a neighbor in common in $\text{IG}(n, k)$ gives a proper vertex coloring of $\text{KG}(n, k)$. Since $\text{IG}(n, k)$ is bipartite, the number of colors of any coloring of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ in which any pair S_1, S_2 of the same color have distance less than 4 in $\text{IG}(n, k)$ is at least the chromatic number of $\text{KG}(n, k)$, which is $n - 2k + 2$.

Let $H(n, k, t)$ denote the graph with first vertex class $\binom{[n]}{k}$ and second vertex class $[nt]$, where the bipartite graph between $\binom{[n]}{k}$ and $\{n(j-1) + 1, \dots, nj\}$ make a copy of $\text{IG}(n, k)$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$. By construction, any coloring of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ in which any pair S_1, S_2 of the same color have distance less than 4 in $H(n, k, t)$ uses at least $n - 2k + 2$ colors. Let $t = \frac{1}{4k} \binom{4k}{k}$ and $H_k = H(4k, k, t)$. The number of vertices of H_k is $a_k/2$, and every vertex has degree $\frac{1}{4} \binom{4k}{k} = a_k/16$. We have established the following lemma.

Lemma 11 *The bipartite graph H_k is $a_k/16$ -regular with $a_k/2$ vertices, and every coloring of the first vertex class of H_k such that every pair of vertices of the same color have distance less than 4 uses at least $2k + 2$ colors.*

Let F_k be the graph consisting of two disjoint copies H_k^1, H_k^2 of H_k with an edge between the two copies S^1 and S^2 of S for each vertex $S \in \binom{[n]}{k}$ in the first vertex class of H_k . Notice that F_k has $2|H_k| = a_k$ vertices and has minimum degree $a_k/16$. To complete the proof of Lemma 10 and hence of Theorem 8, it suffices to show that in any covering $E(F_k) = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_\ell$ in which each E_i has diameter at most 4, the number ℓ of subgraphs used is at least $2k + 2$. Given such an edge-covering of F_k , define a coloring $\chi : \binom{[n]}{k} \rightarrow [\ell]$ as follows. Let $\chi(S) = i$ if i is the smallest positive integer such that the edge between the two copies of S in F_k is in E_i .

The key observation is that if $\chi(S) = \chi(T) = i$ and the distance between S and T is at least four in H_k , then the distance between S^1 and T^2 is at least 5 in F_k , which contradicts that E_i has diameter at most 4. Indeed, in any path from S^1 to T^2 , one of the edges between the two copies of H_k must be used, as well as at least four edges inside copies of H_k . Thus this coloring satisfies conditions of Lemma 11 and therefore χ uses at least $\ell \geq 2k + 2$ colors. This completes the proof of Lemma 10 and of Theorem 8. \square

We next show how to cover all the edges of a graph of large minimum degree by a small number of subgraphs each of diameter at most 5. Recall from Section 2.2 that $G_d(v, w)$ is the induced subgraph of G consisting of all vertices on a walk from v to w of length at most d . Lemma 3 states that $G_d(v, w)$ has diameter at most d . As in Section 2.3, $N_r(v)$ denotes all the vertices of G within distance at most r from v and $G_r(v)$ is a induced subgraph of G with vertex set $N_r(v)$.

Lemma 12 *We have $Q(n, \epsilon, 5) < \epsilon^{-2}$. That is, every graph G with n vertices and minimum degree at least ϵn has an edge covering $E = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_\ell$ with each E_i having diameter at most 5 and $\ell < \epsilon^{-2}$.*

Proof: Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$ be a maximal set of vertices in G of distance more than 2 apart from each other. By definition, every vertex of G has distance at most 2 from one of these vertices. It follows that every edge of G has both its vertices in some $N_2(v_i)$ or one vertex in a set $N_2(v_i)$ and the other vertex in a different set $N_2(v_j)$. Note that in the latter case the edge lies in a walk of length at most 5 from v_i to v_j . For each pair v_i, v_j of distance at most 5, we will use the subgraph $G_5(v_i, v_j)$. Also, we will use the subgraph $G_2(v_i)$ for each i . The number ℓ of subgraphs we use is at most $t + \binom{t}{2} \leq t^2$

and every edge is in at least one of these diameter at most 5 subgraphs. Since $N_1(v_1), \dots, N_1(v_t)$ are disjoint sets of vertices, each of size at least $1 + \epsilon n$, then $t < \epsilon^{-1}$ and hence $\ell < \epsilon^{-2}$. \square

We now present a lower bound for $Q(n, \epsilon, 5)$.

Theorem 9 *There are positive constants c and c' such that $Q(n, \epsilon, 5) > c\epsilon^{-2}$ for $\epsilon > c'(\log n)^{-1/3}$.*

Let k be minimum positive integer such that $d := n/a_k \leq 2^{-4}\epsilon^{-1}$ with $a_k = 4\binom{4k}{k}$. The assumption $\epsilon > c'(\log n)^{-1/3}$ in Theorem 9 and the fact that a_k grows exponentially in k implies that $k \geq d^3$ for some appropriately chosen constant c' . Since $a_{k+1} \leq 10a_k$, we have $d \geq 10 \cdot 2^{-4}\epsilon^{-1}$. We will construct a graph G on n vertices with minimum degree at least ϵn such that any covering of the edges of G by subgraphs of diameter at most 5 uses at least $d^2 \geq 2^{-15}\epsilon^{-2}$ subgraphs, which implies Theorem 9.

Let H_k be the bipartite graph as defined before Lemma 11. By Lemma 11, H_k is $a_k/16$ -regular with $a_k/2$ vertices, and every coloring of the first vertex class of H_k such that every pair of vertices of the same color have distance less than 4 uses at least $2k + 2$ colors. Let F be the graph consisting of d disjoint copies of H_k with no edges between them. The graph F is bipartite, and we call the union of the d copies of the first vertex class of H_k *the first vertex class of F* , and the remaining vertices *the second vertex class of F* . Let G be the graph consisting of two disjoint copies F_1, F_2 of F , with a certain matching between the first vertex class of F_1 and the first vertex class of F_2 , which we define in the next paragraph.

Recall that the first vertex class of H_k is the vertex set of the Kneser graph $\text{KG}(4k, k)$, and a pair of vertices in the first vertex class of H_k have distance less than 4 if and only if they are not adjacent in $\text{KG}(4k, k)$. Let $\binom{[4k]}{k} = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_d$ be a partition of the vertex set of the Kneser graph $\text{KG}(4k, k)$ into d sets such that for $1 \leq i \leq d$ the induced subgraph of $\text{KG}(4k, k)$ with vertex set U_i has chromatic number at least $\lfloor 2k/d \rfloor \geq 2d^2$. Such a partition exists since for *any* partition $\chi(\text{KG}(4k, k)) = p_1 + \dots + p_d$ of the chromatic number of a graph $\text{KG}(4k, k)$ into nonnegative integers, there is a partition $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_d$ of the vertex set into subsets such that the induced subgraph of $\text{KG}(4k, k)$ with vertex set U_i has chromatic number p_i . Indeed, we can take U_i to be the union of p_i color classes in a proper coloring of $\text{KG}(4k, k)$ with $\chi(\text{KG}(4k, k))$ colors. For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, and $S \subset [4k]$ with $|S| = k$, let $S_{i,j}$ be the copy of vertex S in the i th copy of H_k in F_j . Suppose that $S \in U_b$, then the matching between the first vertex class of F_1 and the first vertex class of F_2 is defined by $S_{i,1}$ is adjacent to $S_{i+b,2}$, where $i + b$ is taken modulo d . We denote by $A_{i,j}$ and $B_{i,j}$ the first vertex class and the second vertex class, respectively, of the i th copy of H_k in F_j .

By definition, graph G has $2d \cdot a_k/2 = da_k = n$ vertices and minimum degree at least $a_k/16 \geq \epsilon n$. Hence, Theorem 9 follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 13 *Any edge covering of G by diameter at most 5 subgraphs uses at least d^2 subgraphs.*

Before proving Lemma 13, we first establish some properties of distances between vertices in G .

Claim 4 *If $v \in A_{i,j}$ and $v' \in A_{i',j}$ with $i \neq i'$, then v and v' have distance at least 4 in G .*

Let $v \in A_{i,j}$ and $v' \in A_{i',j}$ be the closest pair of vertices in these two sets. To verify the claim, let $v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t = v'$ be a shortest path from v to v' . The vertex v_2 , being a neighbor of v , must be in $B_{i,j}$ or in the first vertex class of F_{3-j} . If $v_2 \in B_{i,j}$, then $v_3 \in A_{i,j}$ and we could instead start

from v_3 and get to v' in a shorter path, a contradiction. Therefore, v_2 is in the first vertex class of F_{3-j} . Similarly, we must have v_{t-1} is in the first vertex class of F_{3-j} . We have $v_2 \neq v_{t-1}$ since the edge between v and v_2 is one of the edges of the matching, and the edge between v_{t-1} and v' is one of the edges of the matching, which would otherwise imply that v_2 is in two edges of a matching, a contradiction. We have $v_3 \neq v$ as otherwise we would get a shorter path from v to v' . This implies that v_3 , being a neighbor of v_2 , lies in the second vertex class of F_{3-j} . Hence $t-1 \geq 4$ and the distance between v and v' is at least 4.

Claim 5 *If $v \in B_{i,j}$ and $w \in B_{i',j}$ with $i \neq i'$, then v and w have distance at least 6 in G .*

Indeed, consider a shortest path from v to w . The second vertex of this path is in $A_{i,j}$ as all the neighbors of v lie in $A_{i,j}$, and the second to last vertex of this path is in $A_{i',j}$ as it is adjacent to w . By Claim 4, any vertex in $A_{i,j}$ has distance at least 4 from any vertex in $A_{i',j}$, therefore it follows that v and w have distance at least 6.

Proof of Lemma 13: Suppose for contradiction that there are $r < d^2$ subgraphs G_1, \dots, G_r of G each of diameter at most 5 which cover the edges of G . It follows from Claim 5 that any diameter at most 5 subgraph of G cannot contain a vertex in $B_{i,j}$ and also a vertex in $B_{i',j}$ with $i \neq i'$. Hence, for each h , $1 \leq h \leq r$, there is at most one pair (i, i') such that G_h contains both a vertex of $B_{i,1}$ and a vertex of $B_{i',2}$. Since $r < d^2$, the pigeonhole principle implies that there is a pair (i, i') such that no G_h contains a vertex of $B_{i,1}$ together with a vertex of $B_{i',2}$. Fix such a pair (i, i') . Let V_h denote the collection of all sets $S \in \binom{[4k]}{k}$ such that vertices $S_{i,1}$ and $S_{i',2}$ form an edge of the matching that belongs to G_h . By definition of such an edge, the set S is in $U_{i'-i}$, where the subscript is taken modulo d . Recall that the induced subgraph of $\text{KG}(4k, k)$ with vertex set $U_{i'-i}$ has chromatic number at least $2d^2$. We use the following claim.

Claim 6 *If S, S' are vertices in the first vertex class of H_k , then the distance between $S_{i,j}$ and $S'_{i,j}$ in G is the distance between S and S' in H_k .*

Indeed, $S_{i,j}$ and $S'_{i,j}$ belong to a copy of H_k in G , so their distance in G is at most the distance of S and S' in H_k . In the other direction, it is easy to see that any path in G from $S_{i,j}$ to $S'_{i,j}$ can be projected onto a path which is not longer from S to S' in H_k , which verifies Claim 6.

The next claim completes the proof of Lemma 13. Indeed, since G_1, \dots, G_r cover the edges of G , we must have $U_{i'-i} = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$. Claim 7 implies that each V_h forms an independent set in $\text{KG}(4k, k)$ and hence $r \geq 2d^2$.

Claim 7 *Each pair of vertices in V_h have distance less than 4 in H_k , i.e., V_h forms an independent set in $\text{KG}(4k, k)$.*

To prove Claim 7, suppose for contradiction that $S, S' \in V_h$ have distance at least 4 in H_k . Without loss of generality, suppose G_h contains no vertex in $B_{i,1}$ (the other case in which G_h contains no vertex in $B_{i',2}$ can be treated similarly). We claim that the distance between $S_{i,1}$ and $S'_{i,1}$ in G_h is at least 6. Indeed, the only vertex adjacent to $S_{i,1}$ in G_h is $S_{i',2}$ and the only vertex adjacent to $S'_{i,1}$ in G_h is $S'_{i',2}$. Since S and S' have distance at least 4 in H_k , by Claim 6, $S_{i',2}$ and $S'_{i',2}$ have distance at least 4 in G and hence also in G_h . Therefore, $S_{i,1}$ and $S'_{i,1}$ have distance at least 6 in G_h , contradicting G_h has diameter at most 5. \square

We next show that $Q(n, \epsilon, 6) = \Theta(\epsilon^{-1})$ for $\epsilon \geq 1/n$. The lower bound follows by considering a disjoint union of cliques, each with at least $\epsilon n + 1$ vertices. The upper bound also has a simple proof.

Lemma 14 *Every graph G with n vertices and minimum degree at least ϵn has an edge covering $E = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_\ell$ with each E_i having diameter at most 6 and $\ell < \epsilon^{-1}$.*

Proof: Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$ be a maximal set of vertices in G of distance more than 2 apart from each other. Then every vertex of G has distance at most 2 from one of these vertices and therefore every edge of G has both of its endpoints within distance at most 3 from some v_i . This implies that the ℓ subgraphs $G_3(v_1), \dots, G_3(v_\ell)$ cover all the edges of G and each of them has diameter at most 6. Since $N_1(v_1), \dots, N_1(v_\ell)$ are disjoint sets of vertices of size at least $1 + \epsilon n$, then $\ell < \epsilon^{-1}$. \square

4 Decomposing hypergraphs into low diameter subgraphs

We start this section by showing how to decompose the edge set of a k -uniform hypergraph on n vertices, apart from at most ϵn^k edges, into a small number of subhypergraphs of diameter at most 3. This will establish an upper bound on $P_k(n, \epsilon, 3)$. We then present two constructions giving lower bounds on $P_k(n, \epsilon, d)$.

Let H^k denote the following k -uniform hypergraph on $2k$ vertices with $k + 2$ edges. Its vertex set consist of two disjoint k -sets $V = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $W = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^k$. The sets V and W are edges of H^k . For $1 \leq i \leq k$, vertex w_i together with all vertices $v_j, j \neq i$ also form an edge of H^k .

Let G be a k -uniform hypergraph and let $e = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ be a fixed edge of G . Consider all the vertices of G which are contained in some edge of G which intersects e in at least $k - 1$ vertices. Let $G(e)$ be the subhypergraph of G induced by this set. Since the intersection of e with itself has size k , by definition, all the vertices of e are in $G(e)$. Moreover e is an edge of $G(e)$ as well.

Lemma 15 *For each edge e of a k -uniform hypergraph G , the diameter of $G(e)$ is at most 3.*

Proof: Suppose a, b are distinct vertices of $G(e)$. Then there are two indices $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ such that $\{a\} \cup (e \setminus \{v_i\})$ and $\{b\} \cup (e \setminus \{v_j\})$ are both edges of $G(e)$. If $i = j$, then the sequence a , followed by all elements of $e \setminus v_i$, followed by b is a path of length 2. If $i \neq j$, and $a = v_i$ or $b = v_j$, then a and b are in an edge of $G(e)$. If $i \neq j$ and neither $a = v_i$ nor $b = v_j$, then the sequence with first element a , followed by v_j , followed by all vertices of $e \setminus \{v_i, v_j\}$, followed by v_i and finally by b is a path of length 3. In any case, the distance from a to b , and hence the diameter of $G(e)$, is at most 3. \square

The number of edges of $G(e)$ is at least the number of copies of H^k in G for which the image of set V is fixed as e . Indeed, if two disjoint edges e and f (together with some other edges of G) form a copy of H^k with f being the image of W , then each vertex in f is in $G(e)$, and so f is an edge of $G(e)$.

The *edge density* of a k -uniform hypergraph is the fraction of subsets of vertices of size k which are edges. Let $K(t; k)$ denote the complete k -partite k -uniform hypergraph with parts of size t , whose edges are all the k -sets which intersect every part in one vertex. This hypergraph has kt vertices and t^k edges. The following well known lemma is proved by a straightforward counting argument and induction on the uniformity k (see [7]).

Lemma 16 Fix positive integers k and t . If G is a k -uniform hypergraph with n vertices and edge density ϵ with $\epsilon \gg n^{-t^{1-k}}$, then G contains $\Omega(\epsilon^{t^k} n^{kt})$ labeled copies of $K(t; k)$.

Let G be a k -uniform hypergraph with n vertices and edge density ϵ . Since H^k is a subhypergraph of $K(2; k)$, the above lemma implies that G contains $\Omega(\epsilon^{2^k} n^{2k})$ labeled copies of $K(2; k)$ and hence of H^k . Therefore, there is an edge e for which $G(e)$ contains at least $\Omega(\epsilon^{2^k} n^{2k} / \epsilon \binom{n}{k} k!) = \Omega(\epsilon^{1-2^k} n^k)$ edges. By Lemma 15, this subhypergraph has diameter at most 3. Now, as we already did in the previous sections, we can use the above fact to pull out from G subhypergraphs of diameter 3 with many edges until there are at most ϵn^k edges left. Using a very similar computation as in the proofs of Theorems 4 and 6, we obtain Theorem 3 that $P_k(n, \epsilon, 3) = O(\epsilon^{2-2^k})$ for $\epsilon \gg n^{-2^{1-k}}$.

We next give a lower bound on $P_k(n, \epsilon, 3)$ which we conjecture is tight apart from the constant factor.

Theorem 10 We have $P_k(n, \epsilon, 3) \geq c_k \epsilon^{-k}$ for $C'_k n^{-1/2} \leq \epsilon \leq C_k$, where c_k , C_k and C'_k are positive constants depending only on k .

We prove this theorem by showing that there is a dense k -uniform hypergraph with no large subhypergraph of diameter at most 3. More precisely, the next lemma shows that there is a hypergraph with n vertices, at least $2\epsilon n^k$ edges, and every subhypergraph of diameter at most 3 has at most $c_k^{-1} \epsilon^{k+1} n^k$ edges. Hence $P_k(n, \epsilon, 3) \geq (\epsilon n^k) / (c_k^{-1} \epsilon^{k+1} n^k) = c_k \epsilon^{-k}$.

Lemma 17 For each integer $k \geq 2$, there are positive constants c_k , C_k and C'_k such that the following holds. For all sufficiently large n and ϵ satisfying $C'_k n^{-1/2} \leq \epsilon \leq C_k$, there is a hypergraph H on at most n vertices with at least $2\epsilon n^k$ edges such that every subhypergraph of H with diameter at most 3 has at most $c_k^{-1} \epsilon^{k+1} n^k$ edges.

Proof: The proof is by induction on k . We have already established the base case $k = 2$ of this lemma in the proof of Theorem 5. Let $C_{k+1} = 2^{-k-3} C_k$ and let $\epsilon \leq C_{k+1}$. Fix $\delta = 2^{k+3} \epsilon$ and $N = n/2$. Our induction hypothesis implies that there is a k -uniform hypergraph G with N vertices and $2\delta N^k$ edges such that every diameter at most 3 subhypergraph of G has at most $c_k^{-1} \delta^{k+1} N^k$ edges. So G has edge density $\alpha = 2\delta N^k / \binom{N}{k} \leq 4k! \delta$.

Let G_1, \dots, G_t be $t = \frac{2}{\alpha}$ random copies of G on the same vertex set $[N]$, where G_i is formed by considering a random bijection of $V(G)$ to $[N]$ picked independently of all the other G_j . The probability that a given k -tuple contained in $[N]$ is an edge of at least one of these t copies of G is

$$1 - (1 - \alpha)^t \geq 1 - e^{-\alpha t} = 1 - e^{-2} \geq 1/2.$$

By linearity of expectation, the expected number of edges which are contained in at least one of the t copies of G is at least $\frac{1}{2} \binom{N}{k}$. So we may pick G_1, \dots, G_t such that at least $\frac{1}{2} \binom{N}{k}$ of the k -tuples contained in $[N]$ are in at least one of these t copies of G .

If an edge e is in multiple copies of G , arbitrarily delete it from all G_i that contain it except one. Let G'_i be the resulting subhypergraph of G_i . Introduce new vertex sets V_1, \dots, V_t , each of size N/t , and define a $(k+1)$ -uniform hypergraph H on $[N] \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_t$ as follows. The edges are those $(k+1)$ -sets which for some i contain an edge of G'_i together with a vertex of V_i . The number of vertices of H is $2N = n$ and the number of edges is at least

$$(N/t) \frac{1}{2} \binom{N}{k} = \frac{\alpha N}{4} \binom{N}{k} \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta N^{k+1} = 2\epsilon n^{k+1}.$$

Note that every edge of H has exactly one vertex in some V_i . Let H' be a diameter at most 3 subhypergraph of H . Since the G'_i have distinct edges, the vertex set of any strongly connected subhypergraph of H , and hence of H' , intersects at most one V_i . Therefore, there is an i such that $V(H') \subset V_i \cup [N]$ and $E(H') \subset V_i \times E(G'_i)$. Since each edge of H' has exactly one vertex in V_i , note that if we delete from any tight path in H' all the vertices of V_i we obtain a tight path in G'_i . Therefore the subhypergraph of G'_i whose edges are those which are subsets of edges of H' also has diameter at most 3. Since G'_i is a subhypergraph of a copy of G , any diameter 3 subhypergraph of G'_i has at most $c_k^{-1} \delta^{k+1} N^k$ edges. Thus, using that $n = 2N$ and $1/t = \alpha/2 \leq 2k! \delta$, we obtain that H' has at most

$$|V_i| c_k^{-1} \delta^{k+1} N^k = (N/t) c_k^{-1} \delta^{k+1} N^k \leq 2k! c_k^{-1} \delta^{k+2} N^{k+1} = 2^{k^2+4k+6} k! c_k^{-1} \epsilon^{k+2} n^{k+1}$$

edges. Letting $c_{k+1} = 2^{-k^2-4k-6} c_k/k!$ completes the proof by induction. \square

Essentially the same proof gives the following lemma. The only difference is the base case $k = 2$ of the induction is given by taking H to be a disjoint union of cliques of equal size. Call a k -uniform hypergraph *strongly connected* if there is a tight path between any two vertices in the hypergraph, i.e., the diameter of the hypergraph is finite.

Lemma 18 *For each integer $k \geq 2$, there are constants c_k, C_k and C'_k such that the following holds. For all sufficiently large n and ϵ satisfying $C'_k n^{-1} \leq \epsilon \leq C_k$, there is a hypergraph H on n vertices with $2\epsilon n^k$ edges such that every strongly connected subhypergraph of H has at most $c_k^{-1} \epsilon^k n^k$ edges.*

The hypergraph H in the previous lemma demonstrates the following corollary.

Corollary 1 $P_k(n, \epsilon, d) \geq c_k \epsilon^{1-k}$ for $C'_k n^{-1} \leq \epsilon \leq C_k$ and any d .

5 Concluding remarks

In the previous section, we establish both upper and lower bounds on $P_k(n, \epsilon, d)$. We think the lower bounds in Theorem 10 is best possible up to a constant factor, i.e., all but at most ϵn^k edges of every n -vertex k -uniform hypergraph can be partitioned into $O(\epsilon^{-k})$ subhypergraphs of diameter 3. We also believe that Corollary 1 is tight and for each integer $k \geq 3$ there is another integer $d(k)$ such that $P_k(n, \epsilon, d(k)) = O(\epsilon^{1-k})$. Note that Theorem 1 shows that both our conjectures hold for graphs ($k = 2$).

Improving our upper bound on $P_k(n, \epsilon, 3)$ would also be interesting. One possible way to do so is to show that there are many copies of hypergraph H^k in every k -uniform hypergraph on n vertices with edge density ϵ . This problem is closely related to the well known conjectures of Simonovits [14] and Sidorenko [13], which suggest that for any bipartite graph H , the number of its copies in any graph G on n vertices and edge density ϵ ($\epsilon > n^{-\gamma(H)}$) is asymptotically at least the same as in the n -vertex random graph with the same edge density. So far it is known only in very special cases, i.e., for complete bipartite graphs, trees, even cycles (see [13]), and recently for cubes [8]. It is tempting to conjecture that an analogous statement holds for k -uniform k -partite hypergraphs ($k \geq 3$). A k -uniform hypergraph is *k -partite* if there is a partition of the vertex set into k parts such that each edge has exactly one vertex in every part. Simonovits-Sidorenko conjecture for hypergraphs would say that for any k -partite k -uniform hypergraph H , the number of its copies in any k -uniform hypergraph on n

vertices with edge density ϵ ($\epsilon > n^{-\gamma(H)}$) is asymptotically at least the same as in the random n -vertex k -uniform hypergraph with edge density ϵ . It holds for *complete* k -partite k -uniform H (Lemma 16 is essentially the case when all parts of H have equal size). However, as shown by Sidorenko [13], this is false in general. Nevertheless, it is still an intriguing open problem to accurately estimate the minimum number of copies of a fixed hypergraph H that have to appear in every k -uniform hypergraph on n vertices with edge density ϵ .

References

- [1] N. Alon, A. Gyárfás and M. Ruszinkó, Decreasing the diameter of bounded degree graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **35** (2000), 161–172.
- [2] F. Chung and M. Garey, Diameter bounds for altered graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **8** (1984), 511–534.
- [3] P. Erdős, A. Gyárfás and M. Ruszinkó, How to decrease the diameter of triangle-free graphs, *Combinatorica* **18** (1998), 493–501.
- [4] P. Erdős, J. Pach, R. Pollack, and Z. Tuza, Radius, diameter, and minimum degree, *J. Combin. Theory B* **47** (1989) 73–79.
- [5] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On a problem in the Theory of Graphs (in Hungarian), *Publ Math Inst Hungar Acad Sci* **7** (1962), 623–641.
- [6] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós, On a problem of Graph Theory, *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungar* **1** (1966), 215–235.
- [7] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, Supersaturated graphs and hypergraphs, *Combinatorica* **3** (1983) 181–192.
- [8] H. Hatami, Graph norms and Sidorenko’s conjecture, to appear in *Israel J. Math.*
- [9] N. Linial and M. Saks, Low diameter graph decompositions, *Combinatorica* **13** (1993), 441–454.
- [10] L. Lovász, Kneser’s conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy, *J. Combin. Theory A*, **25** (1978), 319
- [11] J. Polcyn, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, E. Szemerédi, Short paths in quasi-random triple systems with sparse underlying graphs. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B* **96** (2006), 584–607.
- [12] J. Polcyn and A. Ruciński, Short paths in e-regular pairs and small diameter decompositions of dense graphs, to appear in *Discrete Math.*
- [13] A. F. Sidorenko, A correlation inequality for bipartite graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **9** (1993), 201–204.
- [14] M. Simonovits, Extremal graph problems, degenerate extremal problems and super-saturated graphs, in: *Progress in graph theory* (J. A. Bondy ed.), Academic, New York, 1984, 419–437.